



OpenA1 editorial mark

Frontier Reasoner 2

A bilingual guide to the model's strengths, limits, and rollout story for customers, editors, and deployment partners.

Frontier Reasoner 2 is designed for teams that need clearer multi-step reasoning, steadier tool use, and more predictable long-context behavior. This brief explains how to describe the model in public materials, where it sits in the product line, and which claims should be backed by the [system card](#) instead of marketing copy.

At a Glance

Variant	Best for	Context window	Availability
Frontier Reasoner 2	Complex reasoning and tool orchestration	256K	General release
Frontier Reasoner 2 Mini	Fast drafts and agent loops	128K	Public preview
Frontier Reasoner 1	Cost-sensitive fallback paths	128K	Continuing support

Why It Matters / 为什么重要

This release is meant to make the model easier to explain as well as easier to deploy. In English, that means clearer guidance on what the model is for. 在中文语境下，这也意味着发布材料需要同时说明能力边界、推荐场景，以及不建议夸大的结论。

"Readers should understand not only that the model is more capable, but also how to use it responsibly in real products."

Narrative Beats / 叙事节奏

The launch brief is intentionally written like an editorial package rather than an internal memo.

1. Open with the user problem, not the benchmark chart.

1(a) Reader value

- Say what becomes easier for real teams shipping products.

1(b) Evidence

- Use the [system card](#) for formal capability and safety claims.

Avoid copy that suggests unrestricted autonomy, perfect factuality, or "research assistant replacement" positioning.

2. Explain where the model fits in the lineup.

2(a) Product framing

- Contrast Frontier Reasoner 2 and Mini in plain language.

2(b) Editorial framing

- Prefer concrete verbs like "plan", "reason", and "orchestrate".
- Keep `reasoning_mode=balanced` examples short enough to scan.

2(c) International framing

- Repeat the core message in English and 中文 without turning the brief into a translation dump.

3. Close with deployment guidance and next steps.

3(a) Reference material

- Point readers to the [cookbook](#) and [evaluation notes](#).

3(b) Rollout clarity

- Tell launch partners which surfaces are GA versus preview.

Messaging Guidance / 对外表述建议

- Lead with measurable strengths such as long-context reliability and more stable tool use.
- Avoid vague claims like ~~solves everything~~ or "fully autonomous research partner."
- Pair product copy with links to the [cookbook](#) and [evaluation notes](#).
- When showing a default parameter set like `reasoning_mode=balanced`, explain the trade-off in plain language.

Words To Use / 谨慎措辞

Prefer	Avoid	Reason
stronger tool use	fully autonomous agent	The latter overstates the operating model
long-context reliability	unlimited memory	Readers interpret the latter literally
deployment guidance	magic	Clearer for product and policy teams

Availability By Channel / 发布渠道

Channel	Notes	Window
API	Default access for enterprise tenants and builders	March 2026
Chat product	Staged rollout with opt-in labeling	April 2026
Research preview	Limited access for partner labs	By request

Editorial Notes

The launch brief should read like a product story, not an internal architecture memo. Keep the opening concise, surface the primary audience quickly, and use short tables when a paragraph would be harder to scan.

Example Copy / 示例文案

Frontier Reasoner 2 helps teams handle multi-step reasoning with clearer tool use, steadier long-context behavior, and more transparent deployment guidance. 在对外材料中，请同时说明推荐场景、限制条件，以及应链接到 system card 的安全说明。

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS / 常见问题

1. Does this replace Frontier Reasoner 1?
 - No. Frontier Reasoner 1 remains useful for cost-sensitive fallback paths and stable existing integrations.
2. Is the model multilingual?
 - Yes. It is designed to perform well in English and Chinese workflows, but launch materials should still describe language coverage precisely.
3. Where should safety claims live?
 - Product pages should summarize them briefly and link to the formal [system card](#).

Reader Checklist / 读者检查清单

- Is the title block visually distinct from the body?
- Do the bilingual sections remain easy to scan after export and import?
- Are links visibly styled as links rather than body text?
- Does the launch brief feel editorial instead of corporate or engineering-heavy?